

Report on Inter Semester Moot Court On 14 November, 2022 at FOL, Jagan Nath University Organised by Faculty of Law

MINUTES OF MEETING

Date: 14 November, 2022

Time: 10.00 AM- 3:00 PM

Participants:

Students of I, III, V, VII and IX Semester and as judge all faculty members.

Purpose:

The programme was organised to educate students about legal knowledge, legal ethics and Professional conduct learning.

Suggestion:

- To conduct the Inter semester moot court and ask I semester students to observe the same.
- Student participated with great enthusiasm and several ideas were given for conduction of Inter Semester moot court competition.
- The students discussed about an event which was related to discussion of moot problem
- The idea was further discussed for making preparation, arrangements and to distribute the work to all members.



Accepted Suggestions:

The accepted idea was an event in which students have to prepare the memorial of moot problem and prepare for the competition which will help them in getting practical implication of law subjects.

Outcomes of the accepted suggestions:

After a healthy discussion, among the students and faculty the event was finalized.

Details;

FACULTY OF LAW

EVENT TITLE	Inter Semester Moot Court Competition
DATE, TIME	14 Nov, 2022
CONVENER	Head and Dean, Faculty of Law Prof. (Dr.) S.P.S. Shekhawat
VENUE/MEDIUM	Moot Court, Law Department
PROGRAM	BA.LLB/BBA.LLB
STUDENT	Harendra Singh Meena, Ishant Sharma and Rahul Jangid
CORDINATORS	
ORGANISER	Faculty of law (FOL)
(CLUB/DEPT.)	

REPORT

Ardour: Faculty of Law conducted Inter Semester Moot Court Competition on 14th November, 2022. Students of Law Department took part in the explicative event.

Objective

- To try and develop creativity, critical thinking and imagination in the students.
- To learn the process of proceeding in courts.
- To practically imply the theoretical part in the court room.
- To improve confidence level of students and improving their speaking skills.
- To let student, enjoy and engage with their mates in college.



The Event:

There were four preliminary rounds, 7 team participated. In finals there were two between from Semester III and V; III Semester team won the competition with commendable performance.

The event comprised of critical thinking and aiding students in understanding the implication of law in the court room. The event was full of zeal and excitement. The event was scheduled from 10:00 A.M to 3:00 P.M. A good number of responses were received from the students for this event. It was indeed a great experience to watch participating and showing great enthusiasm towards the moot court competition.

Winners in Moot court competition:

1st Position: Team 1: Rahul Jangid Abhishek Meena Akshat Sharma

Runner up: Team 5: Buddhi Prakash Aloriya Mohit Dahiya Ishant Sharma

Best Memorial: Team 5 **Best Researcher:** Team 5 : Prerna Pillai and Priyanshu kumar

Learning Outcomes:

This activity helped the students to learn the practical implication of the laws in the court room, increase in confidence level to face the proceedings of court, improvement in the speaking skills of students, how to use authorities in law, understanding the relevancy in giving answers, interpretation of facts and its application, how to maintain good posture during proceedings of the court.



Moot Court Proposition:

Rama Murthy Appellant/Defendant

Vs.

S. Chidambram Respondent/Plaintiff

The appeal is preferred to the Principal District Court, Madurai, against the decree dated 30.11.2005 passed in O.S.No.263 of 2004 on the file of the II Additional Subordinate Judge, Madurai. The defendant is the appellant. The suit is filed for specific performance of contract.

The facts of the case are as follows:

Defendant is the owner of land Block No. 208 and Block No. 209 respectively and measuring Area H. 0.60 R and H. 0.40 R of Village Nagaon in Madurai and he agreed to sell the property to the plaintiff and entered into a sale agreement on 27.11.2000 for the sale of the property. The sale consideration was fixed at

Rs.1, 35,000/- and an advance amount of Rs.1 lakh was paid. The balance amount of Rs.35, 000/- was to be payable within a year and get the sale executed. At the request of the defendants on 10.11.2001, the time was extended by 6 months and the same was endorsed in the sale agreement. The plaintiff was ready and willing to pay the balance and get the sale deed executed.. In the mean time, the plaintiff came to know that the defendants are trying to alienate the property to the third party and therefore, she issued a notice dated 29.02.2004 calling upon the defendants to perform their part of contract. On receipt of the notice the defendants sent a reply dated 12.04.2004 with untenable allegations. The defendants have alleged that the plaintiff, not able to pay the balance, had received back the advance paid to the tune of Rs.70, 000/- and they are ready to pay back the balance amount of Rs.30,000/- and such allegations are not true. Therefore, a suit is filed for specific performance of contract.

The suit was resisted by the defendants. The execution of the sale agreement was admitted by the defendants. But it was denied that the time was extended at the request of the defendants. It is contended that the plaintiff was not in a position to perform her part of the contract and received back Rs.50,000/- on 29.04.2001 and



another sum of Rs.20,000/- on 03.02.2002. The balance is payable Rs.30,000/- and since the agreement of sale is cancelled the plaintiff is also not entitled for the balance amount of Rs.30,000/-.

Based on the above averments, the learned II Additional Sub Judge, Madurai, framed issues and found that the plaintiff was ready and willing to perform her part of contract and the time is not essence to perform the contract and the defendants' allegation that out of 1 lakh of advance amount, Rs.70,000/- was paid back on two occasions to the plaintiff is not true and therefore, had decreed the suit.

Aggrieved by which, the defendants have preferred an appeal before the District Court, Madurai. Since the defendants had not produced any documents to show that the plaintiff had received the said amounts, now before the First Appellate court an attempt has been made to introduce two stamped receipts alleged to have been executed by the plaintiffs. The defendants have sent a reply stating that there is a breach of contract by the plaintiff and on 10.11.2001, the plaintiff had received back Rs.50, 000/- and on 03.02.2002 received a sum of Rs.20,000/- and therefore, the

Plaintiff is entitled for only Rs.30, 000/- and she has lost the right under the said contract and the same defence was taken in the suit also.

Legal issues:

- 1. Jurisdiction of the court
- 2. Whether time was essence to perform the contract
- 3. Whether suit was barred by limitation
- 4. Can the additional documents be filed before the First appellate court?
- 5. Whether plaintiff was entitled to relief of specific performance of contract



Student Notice:



JNU/2022-23/FOL/ 5

Date; 17.10.2022

Faculty of Law

All the students are hereby informed that Faculty of Law Jagannath University is organizing a inter semester moot court competition for students of Faculty of Law on 12 Nov, 2022. Active participation of all the students of III, V, VII, IX semester is mandatory, students have to submit their memorial to concerned faculty by 10 Nov, 2022. Students of I semester ensure their attendance in moot court on 12 Nov, 2022 without fail.

S.No.	Semester	Name of faculty
1	Ш	Ms. Monica Singh
2	V	Mr. Abhishek Bishnoi
3	VII and IX	Ms. Bhawana

Enclosure; Moot Preposition of the inter semester court competition Nov, 2022.

Spashellhaus

Prof (Dr.) S.P.S. Shekhawat Head and Dean Faculty of Law Jagan Nath University



Results:

.

					and the second	Th		
				Jagar Univ	ına	LLL		
	•			Univ	ersi	ty		
						Date	e; 14.11.202	22
		INTR	A SEMESTER N	IOOT COURT CO	MPETITI	ON NOV., 202	22	
-				FACULTY OF LA	w			
			SCORE	SHEET FOR ME	MORIALS	i		
-								
		Team Codes	Formatting and	Use of	Ov	erall	Total	7
	•		Organization of memorial	authorities, organization of	(1	10)	(30)	The second second
			(10)	arguments				
		1	6	(10)	8	3	22	
		2	5	5	=	ł	17	
		3	7	6		7	20	
1 1 1		4	8	8		3	24	-
		5	7	8		8	23	
		7	8	5		6	16	
1. 2. 4	Not			l be calculated tog	ether.			
	· Nor	and signat	ure of Judges	•				
	1.	Dr. Same	ishele Aist	Courses Al	-			
		nr. Abh	ishele Dist	nno: AP				
				10				
						Prof (Dr.) S	.P.S. Shek	hawat
						Prof (Dr.) S	. P.S. Shek Head an	
						Prof (Dr.) S		
						Prof (Dr.) S		
						Prof (Dr.) S		
	•					Prof (Dr.) S		
						Prof (Dr.) S		
	•			Iagar	ma			
	•		J	Jagar	ina			
	•		2	Jagar Unive	nna ersi			
			2	Jagar Unive	nna ersi	th ty	Head an	d Dean
	•		2	Jagar Unive	nna ersi	th ty		d Dean
	•					th ty Date	Head an	d Dean
	•	INTRA	SEMESTER M	OOT COURT CO	MPETITIC	th ty Date	Head an	d Dean
	•	INTRA	A SEMESTER M	OOT COURT CO FACULTY OF LA	MPETITIC W	th ty Date	Head an	d Dean
	•	INTRA	A SEMESTER M	OOT COURT CO FACULTY OF LA	MPETITIC W	th ty Date	Head an	d Dean
	Tca	INTRA	A SEMESTER M	OOT COURT CO FACULTY OF LA	mpetitic w und)	Date Date	Head an 9; 14.11.20: 2	d Dean
	Tear		A SEMESTER M	OOT COURT CO FACULTY OF LA	mpetitic w und)	th ty Date	Head an 9; 14.11.20: 2	d Dean
		n_0[Knowle Interpret	oot court co faculty of la <u>score sheet</u> F <i>inal</i> R [©] v.	mpetitic w und)	Date Date	Head an 9; 14.11.20: 2	d Dean
	Team Code		Knowle Interpret	oot court co Faculty of La <u>score shiet</u> <i>Final</i> R ^a v.	MPETITIC W und) Style, Poise,	Dete Don NOV., 202 Feam 0 5	Head an 2 5	d Dean
	Team	n_0[Knowle Interpret dge of Law (10) facts & (10) is	oot court co FACULTY OF LA SCORE SHIEET Final Ro v.	MPETITIC W Lund) Poise, Courtesy	tty Date DN NOV., 202 Feam 0 5	Head an 9; 14.11.20 2 5 Total	d Dean
	Team	n_0[Knowle dge of 100 of (10) kawits applicati n	oot court co Faculty of La <u>score shiet</u> Final Ro v.	Style, Style, Contesy Contesy Contesy Contesy	Dete Don NOV., 202 Feam 0 5	Head an 9; 14.11.20 2 5 Total	d Dean
	Team	n_O {	Knowle dge of Law (10) Knowle dge of Law (10)	oot court co FACULTY OF LA <u>SCORE SHIEET</u> Final Ro v. v.	Siyle, Poise, Coursey Coursey Demeano ur (10)	Date DN NOV., 202 Feam 0 5 Management Cranization (10)	Head an 2; 14.11.20 2 5 Total (50)	d Dean
	Team	n_O { Category Mooter I	Knowle dge of Law (10) 0 7 0 8	oot court co Faculty of La <u>score shieet</u> <i>Final</i> Ro v. utorities, organization of mgenuity & Ability to Answer Questions (10) 0 1	Siyle, Poise, Coursey Coursey Demeano ur (10) 0 T	Time Management Cream 0 S Time Management Crganitation (10) 0 7	Head an 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 8 7 8	d Dean
	Team	n O (Category Mooter I Mooter II	Knowle dge of Law (10) 0 7 0 8 0 9 0 9	oot court co FACULTY OF LA SCORE SHIEET Final Ro v. ti Use of outputtion and Ingenuity & Ability to Answer Questions (10) 0 1 0 8	Siyle, Poise, Coursey Coursey Demeano ur (10) 0 1 0 8	Time Manageement Common Common Manageement	Head an e; 14.11.20 2 Total (50) 3.6 4 2	Grand Tot.
	Team	n_O { Category Mooter I Mooter I Mooter I	Knowle dge of Law (10)Interpret ion of Factor n (10) 0.7 0.8 0.9 0.9 0.9	oot court co Faculty of La Score sheet Final Ro v. Use of authorities, organization of arguments and Digenot A. Abiliusent A. (10) 0 1 0 8 0 7	Sityle, Poise, Courtesy Deterano ur (10) 0 7 0 8 0 7	Time Management Organization (10) 0 7 0 8 0 7	Head an 14.11.20 2 Total (50) 3.6 4.2 3.4	22 Grand Tote
	Team Code	n O (Category Mooter I Mooter II	Knowle dge of Law (10) 0 7 0 8 0 9 0 9	oot court co FACULTY OF LA SCORE SHIEET Final Ro v. ti Use of outputtion and Ingenuity & Ability to Answer Questions (10) 0 1 0 8	Siyle, Poise, Coursey Coursey Demeano ur (10) 0 1 0 8	Time Manageement Common Common Manageement	Head an e; 14.11.20 2 Total (50) 3.6 4 2	Grand Tot.
	Team Code	n O (Category Mooter I Mooter I Mooter I Mooter I	Knowle dge of Law (10) 0 7 0 8 0 9 0 9 0 6 0 7 0 6 0 6	at Use of authorities, organization of arguments and holility to Answer (10) 0.71 0.81 0.71 0.71 0.71 0.71 0.71 0.71 0.71 0.7	Sityle, Poise, Courtesy Deterano ur (10) 0 7 0 8 0 7	Time Management Organization (10) 0 7 0 8 0 7	Head an 14.11.20 2 Total (50) 3.6 4.2 3.4	Grand Tot.
	Team Code	n O (Category Mooter I Mooter I Mooter I Mooter I	Knowle dge of Law (10) 0 7 0 8 0 9 0 9 0 6 0 7 0 6 0 6	at Use of authorities, organization of arguments and holility to Answer (10) 0.71 0.81 0.71 0.71 0.71 0.71 0.71 0.71 0.71 0.7	Sityle, Poise, Courtesy Deterano ur (10) 0 7 0 8 0 7	Time Management Organization (10) 0 7 0 8 0 7	Head an 14.11.20 2 Total (50) 3.6 4.2 3.4	Grand Tot.
	Team Code	n O (Category Mooter I Mooter I Mooter I Mooter I	Knowle dge of Law (10) 0 7 0 8 0 9 0 9 0 6 0 7 0 6 0 6	oot court co Faculty of La Score sheet Final Ro v. Use of authorities, organization of arguments and Digenot A. Abiliusent A. (10) 0 1 0 8 0 7	Sityle, Poise, Courtesy Deterano ur (10) 0 7 0 8 0 7	Time Management Organization (10) 0 7 0 8 0 7	Head an 14.11.20 2 Total (50) 3.6 4.2 3.4	Grand Tot.
	Team Code	n O (Category Mooter I Mooter I Mooter I Mooter I	Knowle dge of Law (10) 0 7 0 8 0 9 0 9 0 6 0 7 0 6 0 6	At Use of authorities, organization of arguments and holility to Answer (10) 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	Sityle, Poise, Courtesy Deterano ur (10) 0 7 0 8 0 7	Time Management Organization (10) 0 7 0 8 0 7 0 8 0 7 0 6	Head an 3; 14.11.20: 2 Total (50) 36 4 2 34 2 9	Orand Tota 78 63
	Team Code	n O (Category Mooter I Mooter I Mooter I Mooter I	Knowle dge of Law (10) 0 7 0 8 0 9 0 9 0 6 0 7 0 6 0 6	At Use of authorities, organization of arguments and holility to Answer (10) 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	Sityle, Poise, Courtesy Deterano ur (10) 0 7 0 8 0 7	Time Management Organization (10) 0 7 0 8 0 7 0 8 0 7 0 6	Head an 3; 14.11.20: 2 5 Total (50) 3 6 4 2 3 4 2 9 3 4 2 9 .5. Shekha	d Dean
	Team Code	n O (Category Mooter I Mooter I Mooter I Mooter I	Knowle dge of Law (10) 0 7 0 8 0 9 0 9 0 6 0 7 0 6 0 6	At Use of authorities, organization of arguments and holility to Answer (10) 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	Sityle, Poise, Courtesy Deterano ur (10) 0 7 0 8 0 7	Time Management Organization (10) 0 7 0 8 0 7 0 8 0 7 0 6	Head an e; 14.11.20 2 Total (50) 36 4 2 34 2 9	d Dean





Date; 14.11.2022

INTRA SEMESTER MOOT COURT COMPETITION NOV., 2022 FACULTY OF LAW

(Final Round) v.

Team 5

Team Code	Category	Knowle dge of Law (10)	Interpretat ion of Facts & its applicatio n (10)	Use of authorities, organization of arguments and Ingenuity & Ability to Answer Questions (10)	Style, Poise, Courtesy & Demeano ur (10)	Time Management & Organization (10)	Total (50)	Grand Total
	Mooter I	8	8	7	B	8	39	82
. 2	Mooter II	9	9	B	9	8	43	7 02
• 5	Mooter I	7	7	7	8	8	37	- 71
	Mooter II	7	7	5	7	8	34	

Name and signature of Judges 1. Dr. Suman Pali wal Sumon Trans

1

Team___

2

Prof (Dr.) S.P.S. Shekhawat Head and Dean Faculty of Law Jagan Nath University



Glimpse of the Event:









